**Application No.:** 

10/535,619

Filing Date:

January 4, 2006

#### SUMMARY OF INTERVIEW

### Attendees, Date and Type of Interview

The interview was conducted on April 9, 2010 and attended by Examiner Restifo, Mr. Gunner Bjertnaes, Dr. Eugen Popp and the undersigned attorney.

### **Exhibits and/or Demonstrations**

Model of binding on cross country ski segment.

## **Identification of Claims Discussed**

Claims 20 and 58

## **Identification of Prior Art Discussed**

Szafranski et al.

# **Proposed Amendments**

None

# **Principal Arguments and Other Matters**

Applicant explained with the supporting data (i.e. the camber deflection data and the medal statistics from the 2010 Vancouver Olympics) that a ski configuration according to the presently claimed invention minimizes the influence from a binding plate that is fastened on top the ski and this would lead to significant and unexpected improvement in ski performance. With regard to Szafranski, Applicant explained that the ski disclosed in the prior art is unable to achieve the configuration of the present application and thereby cannot provide any resulting technical advantages.

## **Results of Interview**

The Examiner suggested submitting the data of camber results in a form affidavit or declaration. Applicant suggested amending claims to further clarify the subject matter and proceeding with a response and a request for continued examination.